



UCLAAnderson
School of Management

**ILLUMINATING ORGANIZING VISION CAREERS THROUGH
CASE STUDIES**

E. Burton Swanson

UCLA Anderson School of Management
Los Angeles, CA 90095

June 13, 2012

INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Working Paper 2-12

Information Systems Working Paper Series

The IS Working Paper series is a publication of the Information Systems Research Program (ISRP). It provides for the early dissemination of research by the IS faculty, students, and visitors, usually prior to its more formal publication elsewhere. The IS Reprint series includes these more formal publications, many of which supersede the original working papers. To obtain a downloadable index to both series, please visit our website at:

<http://www.anderson.ucla.edu/documents/areas/fac/isrp/wp-index.pdf>

Comments and feedback on our working papers are welcome and should be directed to the authors. Because their authors typically revise working papers within months of their issuance, we maintain only the most recent three years of the series for distribution. Most of these are downloadable from our website (see above). For copies of older papers, please contact the authors directly.

Publication of the IS Working Paper Series is made possible in part through the generous support of the ISRP by the IS Associates. For further information on the IS Associates, please visit their website at:

<http://www.anderson.ucla.edu/x574.xml>

Illuminating Organizing Vision Careers Through Case Studies

E. Burton Swanson

UCLA Anderson School

Los Angeles, CA 90095

June 13, 2012

Abstract. Three case studies that illuminate the careers of organizing visions also help us understand important case boundary choices that the innovation researcher necessarily makes.

Keywords: Innovation, organizing vision, organizing vision career, case study research

1 Introduction

Case study research has been useful in illuminating the careers of organizing visions as originally described by Swanson and Ramiller (1997). Defined as a “focal community idea for the application of IT in organizations,” an organizing vision is theorized as an institutional product of a community’s discourse, hypothesized to drive as well as reflect the adoption and diffusion of the innovative products and services that come to be associated with it. The organizing vision’s career reflects its construction, elaboration, and refinement over time, and has a characteristic arc, first ascendant as the vision gains notice and the discourse intensifies, then descendant once interest falls off, either because the innovation disappoints and fails to be widely diffused, or because it becomes widely accepted and institutionalized. ERP, CRM, and Web 2.0 provide illustrations of organizing visions that have had high-visibility careers.

How do we account for the different career paths of organizing visions and their roles in facilitating the adoption and diffusion of new IT? In recent years, a number of case studies have explored aspects of this broad question, seeking to shed some light on the answer. We have ourselves been involved in three very different studies that may be usefully recounted and compared here with a retrospective look at their design, conduct, and insights, for the purpose of encouraging and aiding others who might want to undertake similar work. Below we summarize these studies, then revisit a set of important choices we made in their conduct. We make the broad point that these choices are best regarded as open-ended and provisional, subject to being reconsidered over the course of the study, so as to maximize insights gained.

2 Three Case Studies

We briefly describe the case studies in the order they were undertaken. We take a wide view of what constitutes a case study, as we believe that different case situations allow for different, not always traditional, methods, which deserve to be encouraged. In each of the studies described, we took a revelatory approach which seeks theory-based insights (Yin, 2003). Each study addresses the career path of a particular organizing vision, and two of the three focus on particular means of community discourse. We focus on the respective findings, leaving key particulars of the methods to the next section.

2.1 Launching Professional Services Automation (PSA)

In the case of PSA, we had the opportunity to study how a new organizing vision gets launched, such that it comes to wide attention (or not). We theorized that a kind of institutional entrepreneurship (Garud and Kanoe, 2003) seeks to shape arrangements facilitating launching, providing for community mobilization and the technology’s legitimation through discourse. From the study, we found that institutional entrepreneurs sought to mobilize the community by developing and recognizing leaders and facilitating members’ focus on PSA. They further struggled to legitimate PSA by developing a coherent vision that incorporated success stories. As of the study’s conclusion, it remained unclear whether the launch would be successful.

2.2 Sustaining Customer Relationship Management (CRM)

In the case of CRM, we studied how media attention can be used to sustain the momentum of an organizing vision already successfully launched. We focused specifically on a series of special advertising sections on CRM published in *Business Week* over a five-year period. From the study, we found that the producers of these sections serialized them, incorporated models for action, and provided fresh meanings to the vision so as to accentuate CRM’s progress and keep it worthy of continued attention. Broadly, we found that momentum can serve as its own resource, to be continually reinvested to keep discourse lively.

2.3 Capturing Web 2.0

In the case of Web 2.0, we were interested to study how a specific discourse means, namely Wikipedia, might be employed in part to capture an organizing vision, that is, provide for a definitive interpretation, and thereby help to propagate it. We studied the encyclopedic entry on Web 2.0 from its inception through five years of continual

crowd-sourced revision. From the study, we found that the Wikipedia Web 2.0 entry went through stages of germination, growth, and maturation, closely reflecting the vision's career progress more broadly. The findings further our understanding of Wikipedia as a new encyclopedic form.

3 Case Boundary Choices

Reflecting on the three studies, we suggest that all and others of their type require that a particular set of boundary choices be made by the researcher. Our own experience suggests that these choices are best seen as open-ended over the course of the study. That is, while certain choices may be made at the outset of the study, these should be regarded as provisional, subject to being revisited as the study moves ahead. The choices involve: (i) time frames; (ii) cast of characters; (iii) action focus; (iv) observational means; (v) lines of interpretation; and (vi) contextual anchors.

3.1 Time Frames

The notion that an organizing vision has a career suggests that it will span some time period, which is not pre-determined, but rather a subject of the case study itself, which will essentially frame it. Each study will be associated with two related frames, that of the researcher's engagement in the study, and that of the case itself. The researcher's engagement will likely reflect opportunistic circumstances. One may come relatively early or late to a case and this is likely to be important to the study. Where one comes late, it may be necessary to reconstruct a history, for instance. Similarly, one may conclude the study relatively early or late, given the unfolding circumstances, again with significant consequences. Whether a study is concluded prematurely or not may not be apparent at the time.

In the PSA study, we were fortunate to receive an invitation to participate in a conference organized to launch the vision, hence we were engaged relatively early on. We gathered data over a 15 month period, July 2001-September 2002, after which we began development of the case. Our data suggested a story that actually began in 1998, introducing a retrospective aspect. Our own telling of the story then went through several revisions over a few years. By the year of the study's publication, 2007, we had incorporated additional contextual data taking the story forward to this later date.

In the CRM study, we began it after taking notice of a special advertising section published in *Business Week* in April 2003. We quickly discovered that there had in fact been five earlier *BW* CRM ad sections commencing with the first one in July 2000. Our data collection focused on all these and subsequent sections and concluded coincidentally with the publication of the ninth and last section in the CRM series in October 2004. Telling the story again took some time, over which it became apparent that the series was indeed concluded.

In the Web 2.0 study, we undertook it when the idea for it came to us in late 2007. From the data we obtained, we found that the first Wikipedia entry for Web 2.0 had been in February 2005 and that we therefore had a three-year history to work with. We presented our preliminary findings in workshops held in October and December 2008. But again, it took us some time to development the case story, by which time we had two more years of data to work with, which proved very helpful, in confirming that the peak years of excitement over the Web 2.0 vision were over.

3.2 Cast of Characters

The nature of an organizing vision is such that it references a particular community presumed to promulgate it over its career. Accordingly, each case study will also be associated with a cast of characters, i.e. people and organizations, whose purposeful actions are the focus of the study. The researcher may engage this cast of characters directly or indirectly, in whole or in part. The researcher may also enter into the cast, e.g. through action research, or not. Certain members of the cast may also serve as sources for incorporating other members, with important consequences.

In the PSA study, we made important initial contacts through attendance at the First Conference and we soon recognized that in doing so we had quickly become part of the cast of characters. From our initial contacts, we found it relatively easy to identify other major players and we undertook interviews accordingly. Eventually we would share our PSA story with these same players.

In the CRM study, it was clear that we would need the cooperation of the key individuals involved in developing and producing the special *BW* CRM advertising sections and we worked carefully to obtain this. Sufficient doors were opened to us to allow us to complete the study. Our case story was then shared among our interviewees to allow them the opportunity to vet it for accuracy.

In the Web 2.0 study, the cast of characters was the largely anonymous contributors of some 5970 edits to the Wikipedia entry over five years. But we also investigated and reported on 16 individuals who had made more than 20 edits to learn more about them. In the end, we made no personal contact with these individuals, however.

3.3 Action Focus

Given that a particular community is theorized to promulgate an organizing vision over its career, we are interested in case studies to explore this agency. Whatever the cast of characters, each case study will inevitably tend to focus on only certain of the characters' actions. Other actions, some of which may be relevant and important, will go unobserved and unincorporated in the construction of the case.

In the PSA study, we identified a wide variety of actions that served to forge a supportive community, including a software vendor's contact of an industry analyst and the analyst's writing of a "white paper" that first defined PSA.

In the CRM study, we focused on key participant actions that led to and sustained the *BW* CRM special ad sections over a five year period. Such actions included recruiting individuals to produce and write the sections, and deciding on the content for each of them.

In the Web 2.0 study, we focused narrowly on participant actions represented by edits of the Wikipedia Web 2.0 entry and the associated talk (discussion) page contributions over five years, seeking to understand the pattern of these edits and contributions. Actions outside this narrow sphere were not incorporated within our design.

3.4 Observational Means

Whatever the focus, the characters' actions will be observed or not in part through the researcher's choice of observational means, direct or indirect, through interviews, access to archival records, or participant observation, for instance.

In the PSA study, we collected data by interviews, participant observation, and archival material made available to us.

In the CRM study, we collected data by interviews and the archived *BW* issues featuring CRM special ad sections.

In the Web 2.0 study, we collected our data exclusively from the archives of Wikipedia. We did not seek to follow up and interview identifiable contributors. This was not a decision made at the outset, but rather toward the study's conclusion, when we came to consider it.

3.5 Lines of Interpretation

Once case data have been collected, the researcher must make sense of them, through what we shall call lines of interpretation, which tie the data together to tell a particular story. Typically, the line of interpretation is theoretical and to employ it is to ignore other lines or relegate them to the background. In the context of case studies motivated by organizing vision theory, the most likely line of interpretation is in effect pre-set, but other lines and complementary theory may also be drawn upon, indeed they may be very much needed, to tell the story.

In the PSA study, we drew upon nascent theory of institutional entrepreneurship (Garud & Karnoe, 2003; Phillips, Lawrence & Hardy, 2004) to tell the story of how PSA came to be launched as an organizing vision.

In the CRM study, we drew from theory on technological momentum (Hughes, 1987) as well as that on institutional entrepreneurship to explain momentum acquisition and motivate the question of how momentum can be sustained.

In the Web 2.0 study, we motivated it through organizing vision theory, but also prior information science research on the nature of Wikipedia (e.g. Fallis, 2008).

3.6 Contextual Anchors

Whatever lines of interpretation are used, the story resulting from the case study is likely to require contextual anchors in order for it to be persuasive. Such anchors include known facts beyond the study's own data that serve to buttress the interpretation. Because organizing visions are institutional phenomena, public sources may often be drawn upon.

In the PSA study, we concluded from our data that PSA had not yet been successfully legitimated. We supported this claim with additional findings that PSA was little mentioned in the ABI/Inform database through January 2007. Too, subsequent events revealed that key participants had gone on to other endeavors. The attempted PSA launch appeared to be unsuccessful.

In the CRM study, from the trade literature, we obtained facts that supported the broad proposition that CRM's adoptive momentum was indeed sustained through the period of our study. Publically available software sales data provided important evidence in this regard.

In the Web 2.0 study, we placed our Wikipedia findings in the broader context of Web 2.0's career path, through analyses of Google search trends for Web 2.0, mentions of the term in the published business literature, and actual user access of the Web 2.0 page in Wikipedia. We further identified a number of major events, such as conferences, associated with Web 2.0 more broadly and were able to associate these with peaks in the Wikipedia editing history.

4 Discussion

Research on organizing vision careers is illustrative of other research that addresses important innovation dynamics, in particular, adoption and diffusion processes (see Rogers, 1995). Case studies of such dynamics may be contemporary, as with those studies discussed here, or historical, purely after the events studied. Where the studies are contemporary, time framing involves obviously crucial choices, though as we have shown, these choices may themselves unfold, fall into place, and interact with the events themselves. The cast of characters is too subject to revision over the study, as certain actors may be written in or out. Notably, whether the cast includes the researchers themselves obligates the researchers to explain their role and how it may affect their findings. The focus on characters' actions may be rather broad or narrow, and is likely to be tied to the researchers' means of observation, as we have illustrated. Additionally, the researchers' lines of interpretation are necessary and important to the telling of the case story, in effect serving to thread events together to make sense of things, but should also be understood to represent boundary choices, as alternatives might have been considered (as reviewers of submitted publications will often suggest, not always helpfully). Finally, contextual anchors are particularly relevant to case studies of the type described here, for purposes of tying the story down to actual events and making it persuasive to its audience (not to mention the researchers themselves).

In conclusion, in reflecting on case boundary choices here, we have sought to identify and bring several of them to light, to serve future research on the careers of organizing visions, in particular. From our examples, we hope it is clear that our interest in doing so is not to inject greater rigor into the research process, but rather greater awareness of the choices that will necessarily be made in studies such as those described. Openness to these choices over the course of the research, more than closure, is the recommended posture, a natural complement to the basic motivation and exploratory purposes of the studies.

Lastly, from our own experience, we should highlight the virtues of undertaking multiple case studies driven by a single motivating theory, such as that of organizing visions. As illustrated here, each study in a set can inform the others, to the advantage of all. In particular, where organizing visions are concerned, there appears to be no shortage of opportunities to do useful complementary studies of different kinds. Again, a posture of openness toward such opportunities, more than a fixation on a particular kind of study, may be the most fruitful in generating the insights sought.

References

- Fallis, D. (2008). Toward an epistemology of Wikipedia. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 59(10), 1662-1674.
- Garud, R., & Karnoe, P. (2003). Bricolage versus breakthrough: Distributed and embedded agency in technology entrepreneurship. *Research Policy*, 32(2), 277-300.
- Gorgeon, A., & Swanson, E. B. (2011). Web 2.0 according to Wikipedia: capturing an organizing vision. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 62(10), 1916-1932.
- Hughes, T. P. (1987). The evolution of large technological systems, in Bijker, W. E., Hughes, T. P., & Pinch, T. J. (Eds.), *The Social Construction of Technological Systems*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press, 51-82.
- Phillips, N., Lawrence, T. B., & Hardy, C. (2004). Discourse and institutions. *Academy of Management Review*, 29(4), 635-652.
- Rogers, E. M. (1995). *Diffusion of innovations*. 4th edition. New York, NY: Free Press.
- Swanson, E. B., & Ramiller, N. C. (1997). The organizing vision in information systems innovation. *Organization Science*, 8(5), 458-474.
- Wang, P., & Swanson, E. B. (2007). Launching professional services automation: institutional entrepreneurship for information technology innovations. *Information and Organization*, 17, 59-88.
- Wang, P., & Swanson, E. B. (2008). Customer relationship management as advertised: exploiting and sustaining technological momentum. *Information, Technology and People*, 21(4), 323-349.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research: Design and methods*. 3rd edition. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.