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Boston takes series finale, 11-4, sets home attendance record Sports D1
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**6 die as Jeanne slams Florida**

Hurricane winds left by Hurricane Jeanne, Troy Taynton, of Satellite Beach, Fla., checked the remains of his neighbor's home yesterday. **Algerina Perna/Globe Staff**
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filled with vacations and frequent trips to

can audit and review an expense report in

court documents.

overseas that dismantled a partner's stent-making ma-

lions of dollars of revenue, created a secret company

oath in a lawsuit challenging redrawn legislative dis-

March and later interviewed lawmakers. Prosecutors

has been operating under a cloud since FBI agents sub-

that began earlier this year.

Finneran has received no official confirmation that fed-

the speaker or his lawyer, Richard Egbert, that they

prosecutors have not told Finneran or sent a letter to

all but ended, but one confidant said yesterday that

prosecutors will tell Finneran or pass a letter to the

speaker or his lawyer.

Finneran was interviewed by the FBI in the two

and on separate dates in the last two weeks

an interview Tuesday in Boston with Steven J. Seiden,

assistant district attorney for Suffolk County, at the

office of the Massachusetts attorney general's corru-

date and financial fraud investigation office.

Seiden had been investigating Finneran and Forwich

Leasing, a firm Forwich operated with Boston Scientific's

Boston Scientific's Natick medical device company

that the carpet also hinders quick entry in and

court documents.

Boston Scientific bought Forwich in 2004.
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Boston Scientific countersued several times over the years, saying it had a right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2000, it won a court order to do so, and a court later ruled that Boston Scientific had the right to supply contract manufacturers with stents to develop its own stent.

In its court filings, Medinol has complained about the expected revenues from sales of its stents, saying that it was “subject to daily breakdowns” and that the Boston Scientific company memo from the event was “a fraud.” Medinol has also alleged that Boston Scientific is using technology that was bought from Medinol.

In late August 1997, BBD purchased a set of Medinol’s blueprints for $32 million. Boston Scientific also purchased a set of Medinol’s blueprints for $32 million, and in 1998, it acquired the machine for the same price. In 1999, Medinol bought back the machine for $32 million, and in 2000, it acquired it for $32 million. Medinol has also accused Boston Scientific of reverse-engineering the machine.

In May 2000, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2000, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2000, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2001, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2001, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2001, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2001, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2002, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2002, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2002, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2002, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2003, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2003, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2003, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2003, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2004, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2004, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2004, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2004, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2005, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2005, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2005, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2005, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2006, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2006, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2006, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2006, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2007, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2007, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2007, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2007, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2008, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2008, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2008, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2008, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2009, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2009, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2009, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2009, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2010, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2010, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2010, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2010, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2011, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2011, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2011, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2011, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2012, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2012, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2012, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2012, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2013, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2013, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2013, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2013, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2014, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2014, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2014, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2014, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2015, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2015, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2015, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2015, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2016, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2016, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2016, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2016, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2017, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2017, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2017, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2017, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2018, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2018, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2018, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2018, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2019, a US court upheld the decision.

In 2019, Medinol filed a suit against Boston Scientific, alleging that Boston Scientific had violated their agreement to develop the machine. Boston Scientific countered that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2019, a US judge ruled in favor of Boston Scientific, saying that it had the right to make copies of Medinol’s technology. In 2019, Medinol appealed the decision, but in 2020, a US court upheld the decision.